Programming Abstractions Lecture 36: Types

Stephen Checkoway

Announcements

Please fill out course evals

We only need 8 more students to fill out the evals to get the extra credit!

Recursive data types

Haskell has lists built in, but let's make our own list type

data List = Cons Int List Empty

This is a recursive data type that says a list is either

- A Cons which contains an Int and a List; or it is
- Empty

Note that this is a sum of products:

- (named) product of zero types
- List is a sum of these two products



Cons Int List is a (named) product of an Int and a List, Empty is a

Creating a list

reverseRange :: Int -> List reverseRange n = if n == 0 then Empty

ghci> reverseRange 5 Cons 4 (Cons 3 (Cons 2 (Cons 1 (Cons 0 Empty)))

else Cons (n - 1) (reverseRange (n - 1))

MiniScheme

data Exp = Lit IntVar String App Exp [Exp] | IfThenElse Exp Exp Exp Let [String] [Exp] Exp Lambda [String] Exp

Another example of a sum of products

Result

data Result = Ok Int Err String deriving (Show)

first :: List -> Result first Empty = Err "This list is empty!" first (Cons x xs) = Ok x

qhci> first (Cons 10 (Cons 20 Empty)) Ok 10 ghci> first Empty Err "This list is empty!"

Note the two definitions for first This is "pattern matching"

Let's write rest. Does this work?

rest :: List -> Result rest Empty = Err "This list is empty!" rest (Cons x xs) = Ok xs

- A. Yes, Haskell is awesome!
- B. No. Runtime error
- C. No. Compile-time error

Limitations so far

Our List can only hold integers; our Result can only hold an integer or a string We could make different list and result types for other types

data IntList = ICons Int IntList | IEmpty
data StringList = SCons String StringList | SEmpty

iLength :: IntList -> Int iLength IEmpty = 0 iLength (ICons x xs) = 1 + iLength xs

sLength :: StringList -> Int
sLength SEmpty = 0
sLength (SCons x xs) = 1 + sLength xs

Polymorphic types to the rescue!

- We can create **type constructors** which take in one (or more) types and produce a new type!
- data List a = Cons a (List a) | Empty
- Now, List is not a type, it's a type constructor
- a (or anything starting with a lower case letter) is a type variable
- If we apply List to a type like Int or String, we get a new type:
- List Int
- List String
- List (List (Int, String)) a list of lists of (Int, String) tuples

Defining functions that work on any type of list Parametric polymorphism

length' :: List a -> Int length' Empty = 0length' (Cons x xs) = 1 + length' xs

(Haskell doesn't like when we shadow the built-in length because it doesn't know which one to use and it wants us to use Main.length to refer to ours so we used length ' (apostrophes are valid characters in names))

type?

Here's the definition:

map'::??? map' f Empty = Empty map' f (Cons x xs) = Cons (f x) (map' f xs)

A. map' :: $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow \text{List} \rightarrow \text{List}$

B. map' :: a \rightarrow b \rightarrow List \rightarrow List

C. map' :: $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow List a \rightarrow List b$

D. map' :: a \rightarrow b \rightarrow List a \rightarrow List b

What is the type of the map' function which behaves like map, but on our list

The real list type

The real list type is a singly-linked list just like in Racket

Rather than using empty and cons for the empty list and the constructor, Haskell uses

- [] empty list
- \bullet x:xs constructor; takes an element on the left and a list on the right

ghci> 1:2:3:[] [1, 2, 3]

: is right-associative so this is the same as ghci> 1:(2:(3:[])) [1, 2, 3]

Revisiting Result

data Result a b = Ok a | Err b

first :: [a] -> Result a String
first [] = Err "List is empty"
first (x:xs) = Ok x

rest :: [a] -> Result [a] String
rest [] = Err "List is empty"
rest (x:xs) = Ok xs

ghci> rest [1, 2, 3]
Ok [2,3]

Aside: Types as documentation

- Type signatures tell you a lot about what the function does
- foo :: [a] -> a

foo takes a list of values of type a and returns a value of type a

What does this tell us about the return value of foo [1, 2, 3, 4]?

bar :: (a -> [b]) -> [a] -> [b]

So bar takes a function $a \rightarrow [b]$ and a list of as; it produces a list of bs

Where do the bs come from?

Examples matching those types

- head :: $[a] \rightarrow a$
- takes a list as input
- returns the first element of the list (just like first in Racket)

last :: [a] -> a

- takes a list as input
- returns the last element of the list

concatMap :: (a -> [b]) -> [a] -> [b]

- takes a function f :: $a \rightarrow [b]$ and a list of as
- applies f to every element in the list and then concatenates all the lists together
- Equivalent to (apply append (map f lst)) in Racket

Type inference

- We don't have to give explicit types of functions
- Haskell can figure out the most general types itself (in many cases)
- reverseConcatMap f xs = reverse (concat (map f xs))
- ghci> reverseConcatMap ($n \rightarrow [0..n]$) [2, 3, 4] [4,3,2,1,0,3,2,1,0,2,1,0]

ghci> :t reverseConcatMap reverseConcatMap :: $(a1 \rightarrow [a2]) \rightarrow [a1] \rightarrow [a2]$

reverseConcatMap f xs = reverse (concat (map f xs))

Inference starts by assigning the types reverseConcatMap :: t1 -> t2 -> t3

- f :: t1
- xs :: t2

Now it can start reasoning about the type of subexpressions (map f xs) :: [t5]

(concat (map f xs)) :: [t6]

map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b] concat :: [[a]] -> [a] reverse :: [a] -> [a]

- - if $t1 = t4 \rightarrow t5$ and
 - $t_{2} = [t_{4}]$
 - if t1 = t4 -> t5,
 - $t_{2} = [t_{4}], and$ t5 = [t6]





reverseConcatMap :: t1 -> t2 -> t3 f :: t1 xs :: t2 (map f xs) :: [t5]

(concat (map f xs)) :: [t6]

(reverse (concat (map f xs))) :: [t7]

map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b] concat :: [[a]] -> [a] reverse :: [a] -> [a]







reverseConcatMap :: t1 -> t2 -> t3 f :: t1 xs :: t2

So t3 = [t7] under a set of constraints giving us

- $t1 \rightarrow t2 \rightarrow t3 = (t4 \rightarrow t5) \rightarrow t2 \rightarrow t3$

 - = (t4 -> [t6]) -> [t4] -> [t7] substituting t5 = (t4 -> [t7]) -> [t4] -> [t7] substituting t6

- (reverse (concat (map f xs))) :: [t7] if $t1 = t4 \rightarrow t5$, $t_{2} = [t_{4}],$ t5 = [t6], andt6 = t7

 - = (t4 -> t5) -> [t4] -> t3
 - = (t4 -> t5) -> [t4] -> [t7]
- substituting t1 substituting t2 substituting t3



Since reverseConcatMap :: $t1 \rightarrow t2 \rightarrow t3$ and $t1 \rightarrow t2 \rightarrow t3 = (t4 \rightarrow [t7]) \rightarrow [t4] \rightarrow [t7], we have$ reverseConcatMap :: $(t4 \rightarrow [t7]) \rightarrow [t4] \rightarrow [t7]$

We can rename a1 = t4, a2 = [t7] giving reverseConcatMap :: $(a1 \rightarrow [a2]) \rightarrow [a1] \rightarrow [a2]$

which matches ghci ghci> :t reverseConcatMap reverseConcatMap :: (a1 -> [a2]) -> [a1] -> [a2]

Wrap up

Think of what you've done this semester

No Scheme knowledge \rightarrow writing an interpreter for MiniScheme!

Key takeaways from the course

- Recursion!
- Functional programming
 - accumulators
 - tail recursion
- List manipulation functions (map, filter, foldl, foldr)
- Parsing and interpreting a language

Course evals

Remember to fill out course evals!

Final exam

Exam Format

Combination of problems (some or all of)

- True/false or multiple choice
- Short answer
- Code to write in DrRacket and uploaded to Blackboard

Exam will be available at 11:00 EDT on Wednesday, June 1, 2022

Your solutions are due by 11:00 EDT on Thursday, June 2, 2022

Late exams are *not* allowed by College policy (sorry, it's out of my control)

Note: that's 11 a.m.!

Final exam time

During the scheduled final exam time (09:00–11:00 EDT), I will be in my office

However, it's better to ask private questions on Piazza early instead since the scheduled time is the last two hours of the 24 you have to work on this

Possible question topics

Anything we have covered in the course from day 1 until today, including Basic Scheme/Racket procedures and special forms - cons, first, rest, list, append, empty?, filter, and all the others - define, lambda, if, cond, let, let*, letrec, etc.

- map, foldl, foldr
- apply
- Recursion
 - "Normal" recursion
 - Tail recursion
 - "Accumulator-passing style"
 - Continuation-passing style
- Closures
 - What they are, how we create them, and how we use them

Possible question topics

- Backtracking
 - Single solution
 - All solutions
- Environments
 - How and when they're created
- Lexical vs. dynamic binding
- Parameter passing mechanisms
 - Pass by value
 - Pass by reference
 - Pass by name

Possible question topics

- Interpreter project
 - Creating new structs
 - Implementation of the environment
 - Parsing expressions
 - Evaluating parse trees
 - Implementing new features/special forms
- Basic runtimes of procedures O(n), $O(n \log n)$, $O(n^2)$, etc.
- Macros
 - How they work
 - How to write new ones
- Promises
- Streams

- Mutation and boxes
 - set! vs. set-box!
 - unbox

Practice questions

What is the run time of (range1 n) given the following definition? (define (rangel n) (cond [(zero? n) empty]

- A. O(log n)
- B. O(n)
- C. O(n log n)
- D. $O(n^2)$
- E. O(2ⁿ)

[else (append (range1 (sub1 n)) (list n))])

What is the run time of (range2 n) given the following definition? (define (range2 n) (letrec ([f (λ (m)

- (f 0)))
- A. O(log n)
- B. O(n)
- C. O(n log n)
- D. $O(n^2)$
- E. $O(2^n)$

- (cond [(= m n) empty])
 - [else (cons m (f (add1 m)))]))])

Practice problems

Implement (range n) using accumulator-passing style

Implement (range n) using continuation-passing style

When you implemented MiniScheme, why was (if ...) implemented as a special case in the parser and interpreter rather than implemented as a primitive procedure?

What fails if you try to implement it as a primitive procedure?

A. Got it!

Imagine you had implemented support for macros in MiniScheme, could (if ...) be implemented as a built-in macro (similar to a primitive procedure except it's a macro rather than a procedure) rather than as a special case in the parser/interpreter?

Assume macros in MiniScheme would work similarly to macros in Racket where patterns are matched against expressions and the output of the macro is valid MiniScheme code.

- A. Yes, it would be easy
- B. Yes, it would be difficult

- C. No, MiniScheme could never support macros
- D. No, some conditional is needed

MiniScheme (and most programming languages including Racket) is a "strict" language. This means arguments to called functions must be evaluated before the body of the function is executed.

In contrast, a "lazy" language defers evaluation of arguments until the arguments are used.

If we made MiniScheme a lazy language by deferring evaluation of expressions until evaluating primitive procedures, could (if ...) be implemented as a primitive procedure?

A. Yes. When evaluating (if then-expr else-expr), only one of then-expr or else-expr would ever need to be evaluated

B. No. Like with macros,
 MiniScheme would still need a special case for conditionals